

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/471,393	12/23/99	STENSBORG		J	0459-0386P	
	IM22/1219	¬ [	EXAMINER			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP PO BOX 747				JACKSON, M		
	H VA 22040	VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			_	1773	10	

DATE MAILED:

12/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Ng. C	App	olication No.	<del>- •</del>	Applicant(s)	_
•	09.	471,393		STENSBORG ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	arv				
	Exa	ıminer	İ	Art Unit	
		nique R Jacks	Ī	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears o	n the cover s	heet with the cor	rrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the me - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.  Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within aximum statutory period will appl d for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date o	In no event, howe the statutory minir y and will expire S the application to	ver, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this communica (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ation.
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-fin	al.		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the	ondition for allowance on the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for for arte Quayle,	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the merit 3 O.G. 213.	ts is
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fro	m considera	ion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	<b>I.</b>				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.				
8) Claims are subject to	restriction and/or elect	tion requirem	ent.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by t	he Examiner			
11) The proposed drawing correct				oved.	
12) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Examin	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d).	
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ Noi		•	- (,	. ,	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the p		e been receiv	ed.		
2.☐ Certified copies of the p	-			1 No	
3. Copies of the certified of	copies of the priority do	cuments hav	e been received		
application from the * See the attached detailed Offic	International Bureau ( e action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for domestic p	nority under	35 U.S.C. & 119	(e).	
Attachment(s)					
5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) 🗌	Interview Summan	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO	Review (PTO-948) -1449) Paper No(s) <u>5, 5, 9</u> .	19) 🔲		atent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·

Application/Control Number: 09/471,393

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 12 and 29 objected to because of the following informalities: On lines 9 and 6-7 respectively, the term "being substantially conform" is improper verb agreement. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 9 and 17 recite the broad

Application/Control Number: 09/471,393

Art Unit: 1773

recitation 1-50μm, and the claim also recites 2-25, 2-20, 5-15 and 5-10μm which are the narrower statements of the range/limitation.

4. Claims 18, 28, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 18, 28 and 35, the phrase "such as" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Further claim 28 recites the limitation "the article forms an integrated part of a container..." It is unclear from this limitation how the article forms an integrated part.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-27, 29-31, and 33-34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miekka et al (USPN 4,913,858.) Miekka et al teach a method of embossing a coated sheet with a diffraction or holographic pattern (Abstract.) The embossing member transfers an embossment pattern by stamping the pattern into a softened coating on a sheeting substrate whereby the embossing member may be in the form of a roll, rollers, belt or platen (Col. 2, lines 58-63; Figures 6-8.) The coating is a thermosensitive material such as polyethylene, polystyrene, polyvinylchloride and styrene butadiene like thermoplastics or semicured thermosets which have discernible thermoplastic properties (Col. 2, lines 36-42.) Miekka et al teach that the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/471,393

Art Unit: 1773

can be used for application of diffraction gratings and holographic interference patterns (Col. 3, lines 22-24.) In addition, the coating surface can be metallized (Col. 3, lines 24-25.) In a method of high-speed embossing of a thermoplastic surface coated on a heat-resistant plastic substrate such as polyethylene terephalate coated with polyvinylchloride, the thermoplastic can have opposed surfaces of which the surface away from a film is embossed and the surface facing the film is metallized (Col. 3, lines 27-37; Col. 4, lines 3-15.) The embossed thermoplastic surface can also be directly metallized (equivalent to a metal layer which substantially conforms to the replicated surface relief) (Col. 4, lines 20-21.) The thermoplastic coating thickness advantageously is between about 1.5 microns and about 50 microns (Col. 4, lines 66-67.) The embossing pattern can, in parts, be filled in with coating material, such as ink or clear lacquer, in those areas where no embossed decoration is desired (Col. 6, lines 54-57.) In one procedure, solid opaque or tinted colors can be embossed directly to produce a brilliant diffraction pattern and to give the luster and effect of metallization without actual metallization (Col. 8, lines 45-54.) Miekka et al teach another embodiment (Figure 10) comprising a metallic layer 13 with a clear overcoat structure formed by the heat-resistant film 110 and coating 12k whereby the coating is diffraction embossed (Col. 8, lines 54-60.) A variation of this embodiment is to have the metallic layer 13 sandwiched between the heat-resistant film 110 and the thermoplastic coating 12k (Col. 8, lines 60-63.) In addition, when the embossing pattern is in the coating, it is possible to control the pattern at will by simply overcoating in accordance with a desired pattern that has a same, or nearly the same (equivalent to different) refractive index as the embossed coating because the overcoated areas then are now devoid of the embossment (Col. 9, lines 3-9.) Miekka et al also teach that there are widespread applications for embossed plastic films with

Application/Control Number: 09/471,393 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

holographic patterns such as application where security sensitive items such as holographic labels are used (Col. 1, lines 29-34.)

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 28, 32 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miekka et al as applied to Claims 1-27, 29-31, and 33-34 above and in further view of the admitted prior art. The teachings of Miekka et al are discussed above. Miekka et al do not specifically teach that the metal layer comprises aluminum, silver, gold, titanium dioxide or zirconium dioxide and that the patterned film or label is part of a container. However, the use of aluminum is well known to those skilled in the art as a preferred metal in metallization process and in the production of embossing holograms as evidenced by the admitted prior art. Further, it is well known in the art that hologram labels or hot embossing of holographic foils are used for decoration on printed material, in particular packaging, or to protect printed materials and other products against tampering and counterfeiting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize aluminum or other appropriate metal material in the production of the embossed plastic films with holographic patterns useful in application where security sensitive items such as holographic labels as taught by Miekka et al and to utilize the holographic label on packaging or containers as taught by the admitted prior art to provide a tamperproof decoration to the conatiner.





Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5436 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ny

mri

December 15, 2000

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

El Thurles